YOUNG LOCHINVAR

OF HILLVIEW

Young Lochinvar at his home on the farm,
Found the Country in danger, He must sound the alarm;
He would not trust to Teddy or the talkers of old,
He would just go himself and see that people were told.
So he ordered his chauffer, to bring out his car,
"Now there will be something doing", said young Lochinvar.

He stayed not for grain and he stopped not for corn,
But filled his hot air tank that bright autumn morn;
He hurried to town, but slowed down on the street
And talked the new party to all he could meet,
Though a good Presbyterian I'm a hustler in way;
And I'll show you some stunts, said the young Lochinvar.

He advertised meetings, they proved not the rage,
Though he had Warren Daniels and Nate Clark on the stage,
He told all the farmers how to change loss to gain,
And his theories though new, gave the farmers a pain
I am a farmer, a lumberman, and in business a star.
And like T. R. "I'm it", said the young Lochinvar.

He journeyed to Eben, the car filled with his kind,
John Meeker and Witherbee, riding behind;
"If you want for the Senate a great man" said he,
"This is your chance, Cast your ballots for me,
"For I will save this great nation, and if I ruin my car
Dad will get me another, " said young Lochinvar.

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER SIXTH

At Hillview he is quietly resting to-day,
But is suffering from shock, so the bulletins say,
He was delerious last night, thought himself in two boats,
One was steered by himself, and the other by Coats.
This morning he reasoned "It would have been better by far
"Had I saved my two thousand," moaned young Lochinvar.

325 Hamilton Street, Easton, Pa.
May 25th, 1917.

My dear "Major":

I have not answered your letter with reference to the Board as promptly as I should have done. This is due partly to original laziness, partly to some confusion of mind, and partly to the fact that I have been as busy as I could be, with six trips to Germantown for funerals etc. pushed into an already full programme. I wish I could talk this matter over with you instead of reading and writing about it. I had hoped to go to Philadelphia Monday for a meeting of the Executive Committee of the Seminary Alumni Association, the meeting of the Adelphoi, and to talk things over, but it looks out of the question now.

I think you are right in trying to get the sentiment of the Board before making your nominations. I feel that a change in officialdom would be a good thing, if we could be sure that it would be a change for the better. On the other hand, it would be the blow direct to hominate some one for the presidency beside Mr. Scott. It certainly would be a hard hit for him, and he is an old man, and I am not sure that it would not go a long way toward breaking him up. I do not think it ought to be done, unless it is necessary, and absolutely necessary. You cannot expect consistency of Mr. Scott. Sometimes he treats me so shabbily that I feel like raising the red flag, but I feel that his purpose is good in the main, and I should be very sorry to see him hit as hard as this would hit him unless it is necessary for the good of the Church. I do not believe, either, that I feel this way because I want to avoid what would be a very painful situation

for me personally. I respect Paul too much not to be willing to take a six inch step with him in blaming Peter at Antioch. (Some of my sophomores would understand the allusion).

Question: Is it necessary? Question: Would we better ourselves? Would Sheppard be better? Would Synnott be better? Would Herrick be better? Could Sheppard be elected? Could Herrick be elected? Would Synnott be better?

Question: On what grounds would you defend the setting aside of Mr. Scott before the Board? The ground of his unfairness at the May meeting? That would not be enough. How could you put it so that it would convince the stand-patters in the Board, and how could you make a clear case before the Church? Surely we do not want a public scandal unless we have a clear case. And not only a clear case negatively - as unseating Mr. Scott - but also positively as seating Mr. So-and-so! How could you make out such a case for Mr. Sheppard in view of all you know? Or for Mr. Synnott - does he appeal to you as a presiding officer? Could Dr. Herrick be elected?

I have helped to confuse you. Which is all I can do. I do not like to think of Mr. Scott's being thrown out of the saddle except for absolute compelling reasons. Are there such reasons. Not that I have reason to love Mr. Scott more, but that I do like to think that I am capable of seeing something of his side of the case, and he is an old man who thinks he is very certain on his feet, a strong man unmoved by winds of influence, but in reality he is about as uns~~teady~~ certain on his feet as Bacchus, and as unsteady as the wind. My advice is to scare him into sense; to drag him out of Lystra leaving him for dead but to wait in the bushes till he gets up and goes on

assadder, but not a broken-hearted man, and a wiser one in the bargain.

Wish I could see you.

As ever,

Ina Muñoz Rey

6118 Walnut St.
Philadelphia, Pa.
April 22nd. 1917.

Rev. Wm. P. Fulton, D.D.
Philadelphia,
Pa.

My dear Sir:-

In the interest of PEACE and Christian Brotherhood; I appeal thru you, to the proper authorities of Presbytery, to call a joint meeting, of the Session of Richardson Memorial Presbyterian Church, and the Committee of the Mizpah Bible Association, at such time and place convenient to all, for the purpose of eliminating the evils of either, and setting to right the affairs of the House of God.

Yours very truly,

Chas Russell

General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in the U. S. A.

REV. W. H. ROBERTS, D.D., LL.D.
STATED CLERK

WITHERSPOON BUILDING
PHILADELPHIA, PA.

Dec. 18, 1914

Rev. W. Courtland Robinson, D.D.,

Philadelphia, Pa.

My dear Dr. Robinson:

I hereby return to you the Report of the Sub-Committee to Investigate Union Seminary Committee, in regard to doctrinal and theological teaching. The Report is an excellent one. I draw attention to matters which you may have felt disinclined to include, in detail, in your Report, connected with the facts in the cases of Drs. Griggs and McGiffert. I refer to this matter because in the Digest of the General Assembly, ~~the~~ certain doctrinal deliverances on matters at issue with Union Seminary professors were made by the Assembly. These deliverances are printed in the Digest, in a concise form, as finally passed by the Assembly of 1899. (see Digest, pp.946-948)

With cordial regards,

Very truly yours,

W. H. Roberts

W

4323 BALTIMORE AVENUE
PHILADELPHIA

February 10, 1913.

Rev. W. Courtland Robinson,
3504 Baring St., Philadelphia.

Dear Doctor Robinson:

At a meeting of the session of the First Presbyterian Church of the Northern Liberties, held today, a resolution was unanimously passed authorizing me to convey to you the sense of the meeting relative to the matter of the application for three thousand dollars to be granted by the Board of Home Missions to the work among the foreign speaking peoples of the aforementioned church.

We feel justified in asking of you as chairman of the Committee on the budget plan of raising and distributing Home Mission moneys, of the Presbytery of Philadelphia, to use your influence in having the Board of Home Missions guarantee to us the grant of the full amount of our request for one year.

As a session we give the work of Dr. George J. Lindner , in this field, our unanimous and unqualified endorsement. As you know his salary is now being paid by the Home Board, in the amonut of one hundred dollars a month. The first year of this engagement expires on the first of April, 1913. I am sure you will appreciate our embarrassment, in making provision for the continuance and development of this work, unless we can be advised at an early date that the appropriation we are asking will be forthcoming.

Assuring you of the hearty appreciation on the part of this entire session of your interest in the work we are endeavoring to do in this old field, and praying your abiding interest and assistance, I am, Sir,

very respectfully, yours,

Edward R. Robbins

Clerk of session.

FIRST UNITED PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH,
WHEELING, WEST VIRGINIA,
CHARLES H. ROBINSON, PASTOR,
112 FOURTEENTH STREET.

My dear Court:

Yours at hand. All the ministers of this town are a unit in thinking of the Billy Sunday campaign as the greatest thing that ever happened to Wheeling - this with the exception of certain German Lutherans & one Episcopalian Rector whose opposition would have been counted upon in any concerted movement of the kind.

The results have been marvellous. The moral tone in our town which was low has been raised, church life has been quickened & I suppose there is not a church within a radius of twenty miles thas not received from ten to three hundred accessions to membership.

One of the best results to my mind is that the latent forces in our congregations have been brot out & put to work.

My own congregation is a new organization as thr result of Sunday's work. Prayer-meeting attendance has doubled, new members are coming in every week, people who never did a stroke before are getting busy.

I believe Sunday is a man of God. He founds every thing on prayer, builds his campaign upon it & is himself to my knowledge a man of prayer. He is no more a grafter than his Erie critic is. Wheeling gave him the biggest fee he has ever received (I think Erie gave him next to the smallest) & I have never heard a begrudging word tho., before Sunday came the graft end of the criticism was the big end.

Sunday preaches the old gospel that you heard your father preach & that you preach yourself. The most conservative old-schoolman could not hew closer to the line theologically than does he. Of course he says a good many things that I think he could a good deal better leave unsaid but he gets the crowd & keeps it & better still converts it.

Of course it is too soon to say any thing as to the permanency of the work. So far as I can see his converts so far give as good evidence of a genuine work of grace as those gained in the ordinary way. They are doing fine considering the kind of examples they have in the old members of the churches they enter.

I saw nothing of the greed of which Thompson speaks. We gave Sunday $17000.00 for his six weeks work & the campaign cost us all told $30.000.00 so far as money goes, by large odds, the best dividend paying investment our town ever made. Sunday is to my knowledge a mighty generous man. I personally know of one gift of $10.000.00 this year & of numerous smaller ones ranging from $500.00 to $10.00. In coming to a town he makes no money contract so far as he personally is concerned but depends entirely upon the voluntary contributions of his last Sabbath. Whether it is $17.00 or $17.000.00 he says " thank you " & thats all there is to it.

I was in Phila., last week but only had two hours & so could not give myself the pleasure of a call. With best wishes

Cordially

11th May 1912.

Chas. H. Robinson

Susquehanna Coal Company

Mineral Railroad & Mining Company Lytle Coal Company

Summit Branch Mining Company

General Office, 907 Arcade Building

George H. Ross,
Vice-President.

Philadelphia, April 7, 1913.

Rev. W. C. Robinson,
#3506 Baring Street,
Philadelphia.

My dear Doctor:

I know that you will be very much disturbed when you get this letter, but I trust that you will appreciate that after careful and earnest consideration of the subject, I have been unable to find any way out except by asking that you furnish me with our letters of dismissal. You, of course, understand that it is quite an undertaking for Mrs. Ross to get from our present residence to your church, and not withstanding that I would much prefer remaining with the Northminster people, I cannot lose sight of the fact that my wife is entitled to possibly a little more consideration even than Northminster. I would, therefore, thank you to let me have by Wednesday, if possible, the letters requested, covering Mrs. Ross, my daughter, Helen S. and myself.

With best wishes for your continued success, and with the kindest personal regards, I remain

Yours very truly,

G. H. Ross

F.